

CREDIT OPINION

30 August 2019

✓ Rate this Research

RATINGS

Gothenburg, City of

Domicile	Sweden
Long Term Rating	Aaa
Type	Senior Unsecured - Fgn Curr
Outlook	Stable

Please see the [ratings section](#) at the end of this report for more information. The ratings and outlook shown reflect information as of the publication date.

Contacts

Harald Sperlein +49.69.70730.906
VP-Senior Analyst
harald.sperlein@moodys.com

Fiona Valente +33.1.5330.1046
Associate Analyst
fiona.valente@moodys.com

Sebastien Hay +34.91.768.8222
Senior Vice President/Manager
sebastien.hay@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

Gothenburg, City of


Update to credit analysis

Summary

The credit profile of the [City of Gothenburg \(Aaa, stable\)](#) reflects its robust financial position underpinned by a track record of gross operating surpluses, a supportive institutional framework, the city's role as an industrial hub and its current low net debt levels. It also takes into account our expectation that the city will borrow more in coming years in order to fund infrastructure investments to a growing and aging population. It also reflects our view of a very high likelihood that the [Government of Sweden \(Aaa, stable\)](#) would provide support if the city were to face acute liquidity stress.

Exhibit 1

Robust financial performance



Source: Moody's Investors Service

Credit strengths

- » Robust financial performance
- » Strong institutional framework with established equalisation system in a strong economic environment
- » Low level of net debt

Credit challenges

- » Growing population to put pressure on required investment and debt levels
- » Large city-owned company sector

Rating outlook

The outlook is stable. This reflects our forecast that the city is able to maintain its very strong idiosyncratic credit profile, but also takes into account the stable outlook of the sovereign.

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

A downgrade could result from a loosening of the prudent budget discipline, resulting in a significant deterioration of operating performance, or a significant increase in investment levels and consequently debt burden and/or city owned companies ceasing to contribute dividends to the city, or weakening of the importance of local government and consensus with the central government regarding the delivery of public services. A downgrade of the Government of Sweden (Aaa stable), would immediately result in a downgrade for the city.

Key indicators

Exhibit 2

City of Gothenburg

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Net direct and indirect debt / operating revenue (%)	9.0%	10.5%	11.1%	17.4%	15.3%
Gross interest expenses / operating revenue (%)	2.9%	1.6%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%
Gross operating balance / operating revenue (%)	3.9%	3.7%	4.4%	6.5%	7.6%
Cash financing surplus (requirement) / total revenue (%)	-1.5%	-5.1%	-2.5%	-2.3%	-1.8%
Intergovernmental revenues / total revenue (%)	5.5%	6.9%	9.0%	7.8%	7.5%

Source: Moody's Investors Service; City of Gothenburg

Detailed credit considerations

The credit profile of the city of Gothenburg combines (1) a baseline credit assessment (BCA) for the city of aa1 and (2) a very high likelihood of extraordinary support coming from the [Government of Sweden \(Aaa stable\)](#) in the event that Gothenburg faced acute liquidity stress.

Baseline credit assessment

Robust financial performance

The City of Gothenburg has a robust track record of financial performance, as gross operating balance (GOB) has consistently been positive, and even improved to 7.6% of operating revenues in 2018, compared to 6.5% in 2017 (see Exhibit 1). As a result of increasing population and the need for public services, this ratio is expected to slightly weaken over the next five years. The city plans only a marginal operating surplus in 2019. Based on latest projections, the city would be able to exceed its budgeted numbers.

In 2018, operating revenues were SEK43,5 billion, slightly higher than 2017, mainly driven by dynamic tax revenues, but also thanks to dividends received. The bulk of Gothenburg's revenues stems from taxes (72%). Intergovernmental transfers, in the form of tax equalisation grants and other central government (general) grants are another revenue source. The remainder of revenues stem from fees and commissions and financial income (including dividends) from city-owned companies.

In 2018, operating expenses were SEK40.2 billion. More than half of operating expenses consist of personnel costs (54%), which are increasing due to rising demand for public services. The remainder includes costs of goods and services, interest expenses and transfers for financial assistance.

Due to substantial capital expenses, the city's overall financial result was a deficit of 1.8% of total revenues in 2018, following a financing deficit of 2.3% of total revenues in 2017.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Strong institutional framework with established equalisation system in a strong economic environment

Swedish Local governments have unlimited power of taxation and are deemed to have strong revenue and expenditure flexibility. Due to their constitutional position, local governments cannot be declared bankrupt and are fully liable for all obligations they enter into. Since 2000, the national requirements for a Balanced Budget have been applied, and any resulting deficits must be corrected within three years. Ultimately, the Swedish state is responsible for ensuring equality in the provision of public services in the country; it therefore closely monitors the municipal sector and annual budgets. The annual balanced budget analysis has concluded that Gothenburg has fulfilled this requirement in 2018 with net earnings of SEK1,053 million (excluding capital gains, but including SEK810 million dividends received). SEK740 million has been transferred to the earnings equalisation reserve.

As is the case for most municipalities in Sweden, Gothenburg receives a grant under the Swedish equalisation system. In 2018, equalisation grants were SEK3.7 billion. Other general grants were SEK3.3 billion.

Gothenburg's robust financial performance is supported by a mature and highly developed institutional framework. The division of powers between national, county and municipal governments is clearly defined under acts of the Riksdag. Changes have at times been significant, with responsibilities shifted within levels of government after a process of extensive and meaningful consultation between all levels of government and the Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions (SALAR). The local government financing principle is enshrined within government policy so that responsibilities may not be shifted without provision of appropriate resources. Local governments have a critical role in carrying out almost 40% of public primary expenditures and account for approximately 20% of GDP.

Gothenburg's financial flexibility is also supported by its strong economic situation, providing a strong tax base. The city is considered the transport hub of Scandinavia, Sweden's major industrial centre and home to some of Sweden's largest employers, including companies like [Volvo Car AB](#) (Ba1 stable), [Stena AB](#) (B1 negative) and [SKF AB](#) (Baa1 stable). The associated workforce significantly contributes to the city's operating revenues by way of income taxes which are currently set at 21.12% for the City and 11.48% for the county (Västra Götaland). Given that the majority of the city's revenues come from local taxes (personal income tax), the strength of the regional economy supports the city's finances.

Gothenburg is the second largest city in Sweden with a population of around 572 thousand inhabitants, approximately 5.6% of the national total. Gothenburg has seen a steady increase in its population and this trend is expected to continue over the next decade. This growth will increase demand for public services, requiring further investments, which we expect to be partially debt financed. The current low levels of debt could allow Gothenburg to withstand higher levels without a material impact on credit worthiness.

Low level of net debt

Net direct and indirect debt are calculated at 15.3% (SEK6.7 billion) of operating revenues at year end 2018, and are expected to be around slightly higher in 2019 and 2020. This ratio also includes some debt which has been taken on to build up a liquidity reserves. Gothenburg currently has low net debt levels because while the city borrows on behalf of city-owned companies, we perceive company on-lending as self-supporting debt, hence we deduct it from the gross debt reported on the city's balance sheet. We consider any debt as self-supporting as long as the debt taken on behalf of city-owned companies can be serviced by those companies. As a result, this debt is currently judged as self-supporting due to the companies' strong track record of positive contributions after tax and interest payments.

We expect that Gothenburg will assume some additional debt in the coming years in order to support raised infrastructure investment levels as the city aims to provide the necessary services for the growing population. Higher debt levels related to raised investment levels could lead to higher interest costs for the city owned companies and this could compromise Gothenburg's Aaa rating if the companies do not maintain their strong financial performance and net positive contributions. We will closely monitor the companies' net financial positions moving forward.

Gothenburg's funding comes primarily through an EMTN programme, certificate programmes and an ECP programme, together with credit lines from several strong financial institutions. Additional loans include those from the [European Investment Bank](#) (Aaa stable) and [Kommuninvest i Sverige](#) (Aaa stable), a funding vehicle for the local government sector in Sweden.

Gothenburg was the first city to issue a green bond in 2013. The city's [Green Bond Assessment of GB1](#) (the highest possible grade) reflects its adoption a formal rigorous process to identify, consider, evaluate and select eligible projects for funding through the issuance of green bonds. The city's sixth green bond, issued 2018, amounted to SEK1.5 billion, maturing in June 2024. The City's cumulative green bond issuance to date totals SEK7 billion. Proceeds have been allocated to various green initiatives, including sustainable transportation, housing, and energy efficiency. These issuances were able to attract a wider range of investors to the city's investor base.

Around SEK6 billion of the Municipality's loans (nearly 20% of outstanding debt, including on-lent debt to municipal companies) will mature each year over the next few years. The average duration (incl. derivatives) of their long term debt maturing is around 3.8 years. Internal policy limits refinancing risk by requiring that no more than 35% of total external debt and 25% of long term external debt can mature within 12 months. The existing debt maturity profile falls in line with internal guidelines, recommending that the average maturity of long-term loans should fall between two and six years.

The city has a strong liquidity profile, resulting also from a policy requirement that the city should hold committed secured facilities that will cover at least 12 month of debt coming due. In addition to its modest cash reserves (SEK1.4 billion as of year end 2018), the city holds committed bank facilities amounting to SEK8 billion as of year end 2018 which are available with immediate drawdown. In addition, the city holds a liquid securities portfolio (covered bonds) of around SEK3 billion. Altogether helps to mitigate refinancing risk for the city.

Growing population to put pressure on required investment and debt levels

Gothenburg has had rapid population growth for several years. The dynamic increases were due to high birth rates as well as to migration into the city. This population growth is exerting pressure on sectors such as housing, education, health care and transportation. To address these pressures, Gothenburg is involved in large projects including the West Swedish Agreement and other infrastructure investments. The West Swedish Agreement is anticipated to cost SEK34 billion and will be co-financed between the state, regions (Västra Götaland and Region Halland) and Gothenburg City along with income from congestion tax in Gothenburg. To enable the local development plans, the city will need to invest in infrastructure and public service (schools, kindergartens etc.).

The city expects its capital investments to reach up to around SEK5 billion annually over the coming years. In addition, the city companies are also planning to invest even higher amounts to address infrastructure needs. We expect these investments to be partly financed via increased debt levels, as operating surpluses are not deemed sufficient to cover annual capital expenses. We will continue to monitor the municipal and city company investments and debt levels. We expect this to continue being managed appropriately moving forward.

Large city-owned company sector

The city-owned company sector is comparatively large, compared to other Swedish cities. Göteborgs Stadshus AB (Stadshus AB) is the parent company of all the wholly and part-owned companies. If we include all the contingent liabilities, including self-supporting debt on-lent to the city owned companies, this debt is reported at SEK49.1 billion and would equal 113% of operating revenues in 2018. We anticipate this number to slightly increase following the anticipated investments. The largest self-supporting, city-owned borrowers are Förvaltnings AB Framtiden (a housing company) and Göteborg Energi AB.

In 2018 the city has on-lent SEK33.5 billion (more than 80% of its outstanding direct debt of SEK39.4 billion) to companies controlled by the city.

The municipal corporate governance guidelines apply to all city-owned companies and aim to centralise all financing functions for both the City Authority and the city's companies. Since 2013, financing for these companies has taken place through the city with the city on-lending and charging market interest rates to these companies.

With the consolidation of the companies and treasury functions we believe transparency and control over the city's liabilities has increased. The city's centralised financial functions are also likely to improve risk control, giving the city greater control of these city-owned companies. This is a credit positive in an environment of a large company sector anticipated to grow further.

Extraordinary support considerations

We consider Gothenburg to have a very high likelihood of extraordinary support from the Government of Sweden (Aaa stable), reflecting our assessment of (1) the role of Gothenburg as a major population centre and driver of the economy; (2) the central governments oversight of the regional and local government sector; (3) local governments are required to close budget deficits within three years; (4) the Swedish equalisation system; and (5) Sweden's reputation would suffer if Gothenburg were to experience financial distress.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The assigned baseline credit assessment (BCA) of aa1 is in line with the scorecard-indicated BCA of aa1.

The matrix-generated BCA of aa1 reflects (i) an idiosyncratic risk score of 2 (presented below) on a 1 to 9 scale, where 1 represents the strongest relative credit quality and 9 the weakest; and (ii) a systemic risk score of Aaa, as reflected in the sovereign bond rating for Sweden (Aaa stable).

For details about our rating approach, please refer to [Rating Methodology: Regional and Local Governments, 16 Jan 2018](#).

Exhibit 3

City of Gothenburg

Baseline Credit Assessment	Score	Value	Sub-factor Weighting	Sub-factor Total	Factor Weighting	Total
Scorecard						
Factor 1: Economic Fundamentals						
Economic strength	1	145.93	70%	1	20%	0.20
Economic volatility	1		30%			
Factor 2: Institutional Framework						
Legislative background	1		50%	2	20%	0.40
Financial flexibility	3		50%			
Factor 3: Financial Performance and Debt Profile						
Gross operating balance / operating revenues (%)	3	6.80	12.5%	2.5	30%	0.75
Interest payments / operating revenues (%)	3	1.26	12.5%			
Liquidity	1		25%			
Net direct and indirect debt / operating revenues (%)	1	15.32	25%			
Short-term direct debt / total direct debt (%)	5	24.49	25%			
Factor 4: Governance and Management - MAX						
Risk controls and financial management	1			1	30%	0.30
Investment and debt management	1					
Transparency and disclosure	1					
Idiosyncratic Risk Assessment						1.65(2)
Systemic Risk Assessment						Aaa
Suggested BCA						aa1

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Ratings

Exhibit 4

Category	Moody's Rating
GOTHENBURG, CITY OF	
Outlook	Stable
Senior Unsecured	Aaa
Commercial Paper	P-1
Other Short Term	(P)P-1

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJJK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJJK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJJK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJJK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1185811

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454